

FINDINGS OF FACT IN DEPENDENCY AND TERMINATION CASE

TOP TEN RECOMMENDATIONS

FOR ENSURING ADEQUATE FINDINGS OF FACT

From Judge Beth M. Andrus (ret.) Washington State Court of Appeals, Division 1 (2018–2023)

View Full Document



- CR 52 requires the entry of written findings of fact and conclusions of law after a bench trial.
- 2. Written findings should track the applicable statutory language.
- Findings of fact should identify the specific evidence on which the court relied to support its ultimate findings.
- Findings of fact should not summarize testimony but should specify whose testimony the court found credible.
- Findings of fact must be **based on evidence admitted at trial**, not on evidence admitted in prior hearings or evidence admitted for a non-substantive, limited purpose.
- 6. Findings of fact should include a recitation of the applicable burden of proof.
- 7 Identify any rebuttable presumptions on which the trial court relied.
- If the court relied on exhibits to support certain findings, the trial court should include a citation to the exhibits by number in the written findings.
- The doctrine of res judicata does not prevent a parent from relitigating certain findings of fact in a dependency order and the trial court **should not rely on this doctrine** in termination findings of fact.
- A termination order should contain a written finding that the parent is currently unfit to parent the child.